

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. B01-31 6207 09/973,344 10/09/2001 Stephen K. Scolamiero EXAMINER 40990 7590 06/03/2004 LEE, EDMUND H **ACUSHNET COMPANY** 333 BRIDGE STREET PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. BOX 965 1732 FAIRHAVEN, MA 02719

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/973,344	SCOLAMIERO ET AL.	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		EDMUND H. LEE	1732	
	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
Period fo	or Reply			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the precious will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC and the cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n <u>22 March 2004</u> .		
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)□ 7)□	The state of the s			
Applicat	tion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	<pre>accepted or b) objected f n to the drawing(s) be held in abey e correction is required if the drawing</pre>	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some color None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
2)  No	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT per No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper l	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/973,344 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's response to the species election filed 3/22/04 is confusing because it is unclear whether or not applicant understood the species election. The same species election as before is set out below but clearer.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4 and 5-18, drawn to a method of making golf ball cores and processing preforms, classified in class 264, subclass 40.4.
  - II. Claims 19-30, drawn to an apparatus for processing preforms for use in making golf balls cores and a mold, classified in class 425, subclass 308.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as a method of making a core for a bowling ball, baseball, or softball.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1732

- 5. If Group I is elected then the following species election is appropriate.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Pick one of the following species:

- IA) those claims directed to using at least one laser micrometer.
- IB) those claims directed to using at least one camera and taking at least one image of each preform with the camera.
- IC) those claims directed to measuring the length of each preform and measuring the diameter of each preform.

AND Pick one of the following species:

- ID) those claims directed to providing a visual cue.
- IE) those claims directed to providing an audible cue.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-7, 12-13, and 16-18 are generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Art Unit: 1732

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. If Group II is elected then the following species election is appropriate.
- 8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- IIA) those claims directed to an apparatus for processing preforms for use in making golf ball cores.
  - IIB) those claims directed to a mold for making a golf ball core.

Art Unit: 1732

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. If Species IIA is elected then the following species election is further appropriate.

Art Unit: 1732

10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

IIA1) those claims directed to a non-contact measuring device including a camera.

IIA2) those claims directed to a non-contact measuring device including a laser.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 19 and 23 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1732

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

EHL

2 Lun Da